

Standing Committee on Natural Resources

Tuesday, March 27, 2018

• (0850)

[English]

The Chair (Mr. James Maloney (Etobicoke—Lakeshore, Lib.)): Good morning, everybody. We have one item on the agenda. We're going to finish the clause-by-clause and then go in camera to do some committee business.

Where we are on the clause-by-clause, as I understand it, is that we were discussing the amendment proposed by Ms. Ng. It had been moved. There had been discussion about a subamendment but it had not been formally moved. I think that's where we left it.

Does everybody agree with that summation? Just say yes.

Voices: Oh, oh!

Mrs. Shannon Stubbs (Lakeland, CPC): Just say yes if you agree.

The Chair: Okay. All right-

Ms. Mary Ng (Markham—Thornhill, Lib.): Equitable ordering around.... Us too, you know.

Mr. Jamie Schmale (Haliburton—Kawartha Lakes—Brock, CPC): He's very friendly that way.

The Chair: Okay. That's where we are.

That said, it might make sense, Ms. Ng, for you to pick it up from there.

Ms. Mary Ng: Thank you.

Thank you, everyone, for the input and the good discussion we had at the last meeting when we were looking through the clauses.

We were going to go back to consider some of the thinking and the comments that Ms. Stubbs put forward in her amendment. We've had an opportunity to go back to legislative counsel to look at it. We are satisfied that the word "thing" and the dash.... Because it was suggested for the word "thing" and the dash that we actually remove it, we had gone back on it in our discussions, and that language is actually the correct language for the purposes of legislation for this.

Here's the rationale, and I'll try to explain it as best I can, based on our understanding. When we are looking at what the intent of this amendment or this legislation is going to be, we see that it is going to allow, as we've heard from a lot of people in the sector and elsewhere, that as wood would be a material of consideration if this were to pass, part of the consideration of its use and the application of it is going to include some innovations. The word "thing" is actually in there to give it that kind of flexibility. We agree with that, and we want to leave that as is.

I'm proposing that we don't have a subamendment. We're satisfied that we've done another round of due diligence and that the amendment I proposed at the last round ought to stay. I would just reiterate that what we're trying to do here is to have an amendment that respects our compliance, the country's compliance to trade agreements like the free trade agreements that we have. Ultimately what this legislation is about is a consideration of wood to allow for the use of wood for the purposes of giving the minister an ability to consider in the course of decision-making that will actually allow for the reduction of greenhouse gas emissions, and other sustainable material, wood being one of them.

On that, Mr. Chair, and members of the committee, I would propose that we leave the amendment as put forward at last meeting. \bullet (0855)

The Chair: Thank you.

Anybody else?

Mrs. Shannon Stubbs: Obviously, mathematics would dictate how this discussion is going to go, but I would just, for the sake of doing due diligence, as was mentioned.... We have also done ours. Again, if the argument is around what is necessary or not necessary to have in legislation, it remains the fact, if you actually do look at the powers, duties, and functions under the Public Works act, that there are a variety of powers and considerations the minister must make, but there remains nothing about safety whatsoever explicitly mentioned, as was claimed at the last meeting. On top of that, there are numerous other acts and guidelines and policy frameworks that already mandate the consideration of the life-cycle emissions in federal procurement of buildings.

If your argument is that a reference to safety is not necessary based on the assertion that it is mentioned in other legislation, it isn't. If you want to use that logic, then it also does hold that there are a number of other frameworks in legislation that talk about the submissions piece that you want to maintain in this legislation. I think we've dropped the other factors, but we are sticking to the importance of having safety, given the testimony of the witnesses. If you want to argue that that's not included because it's covered elsewhere, well, so is the emissions and carbon-impact piece on federal procurement and acquisition and architecture of federal buildings. That already exists in pre-existing legislation, so the same argument would defeat your own.

The Chair: Is there anybody else? Going once, twice. Okay.

So we should vote on the Liberal amendment. Mr. Jamie Schmale: Should we not vote on our amendment first? The Chair: No, because the Liberal amendment was moved first. Mr. Jamie Schmale: Sorry, I thought we were moved first. The Chair: If that is adopted, do the mathematics. Mrs. Shannon Stubbs: It makes ours null.	Mr. Nick Whalen (St. John's East, Lib.): Oh, no, I'm voting.The Chair: Oh, okay. I'm glad somebody is.Some hon. members: Agreed.The Chair: Shall the chair report the bill as amended to the House?
The Chair: Then it makes yours null, yes.	Some hon. members: Agreed.
All in favour, then, of the amendment moved by Ms. Ng?	The Chair: Shall the committee order a reprint of the bill as amended for the use of the House at report stage?
(Amendment agreed to [See Minutes of Proceedings])	
(Clause 1 as amended agreed to)	Some hon. members: Agreed.
The Chair: Shall the title of the bill carry?	The Chair: Congratulations, we're done.
Some hon. members: Agreed.	With that wrapped up, we can suspend for a minute and go in camera and do some committee business.
The Chair: Shall the bill as amended carry?	
Nick, do you have a question?	[Proceedings continue in camera]

Published under the authority of the Speaker of the House of Commons

SPEAKER'S PERMISSION

The proceedings of the House of Commons and its Committees are hereby made available to provide greater public access. The parliamentary privilege of the House of Commons to control the publication and broadcast of the proceedings of the House of Commons and its Committees is nonetheless reserved. All copyrights therein are also reserved.

Reproduction of the proceedings of the House of Commons and its Committees, in whole or in part and in any medium, is hereby permitted provided that the reproduction is accurate and is not presented as official. This permission does not extend to reproduction, distribution or use for commercial purpose of financial gain. Reproduction or use outside this permission or without authorization may be treated as copyright infringement in accordance with the *Copyright Act*. Authorization may be obtained on written application to the Office of the Speaker of the House of Commons.

Reproduction in accordance with this permission does not constitute publication under the authority of the House of Commons. The absolute privilege that applies to the proceedings of the House of Commons does not extend to these permitted reproductions. Where a reproduction includes briefs to a Committee of the House of Commons, authorization for reproduction may be required from the authors in accordance with the *Copyright Act*.

Nothing in this permission abrogates or derogates from the privileges, powers, immunities and rights of the House of Commons and its Committees. For greater certainty, this permission does not affect the prohibition against impeaching or questioning the proceedings of the House of Commons in courts or otherwise. The House of Commons retains the right and privilege to find users in contempt of Parliament if a reproduction or use is not in accordance with this permission.

Also available on the House of Commons website at the following address: http://www.ourcommons.ca

Publié en conformité de l'autorité du Président de la Chambre des communes

PERMISSION DU PRÉSIDENT

Les délibérations de la Chambre des communes et de ses comités sont mises à la disposition du public pour mieux le renseigner. La Chambre conserve néanmoins son privilège parlementaire de contrôler la publication et la diffusion des délibérations et elle possède tous les droits d'auteur sur cellesci.

Il est permis de reproduire les délibérations de la Chambre et de ses comités, en tout ou en partie, sur n'importe quel support, pourvu que la reproduction soit exacte et qu'elle ne soit pas présentée comme version officielle. Il n'est toutefois pas permis de reproduire, de distribuer ou d'utiliser les délibérations à des fins commerciales visant la réalisation d'un profit financier. Toute reproduction ou utilisation non permise ou non formellement autorisée peut être considérée comme une violation du droit d'auteur aux termes de la *Loi sur le droit d'auteur*. Une autorisation formelle peut être obtenue sur présentation d'une demande écrite au Bureau du Président de la Chambre.

La reproduction conforme à la présente permission ne constitue pas une publication sous l'autorité de la Chambre. Le privilège absolu qui s'applique aux délibérations de la Chambre ne s'étend pas aux reproductions permises. Lorsqu'une reproduction comprend des mémoires présentés à un comité de la Chambre, il peut être nécessaire d'obtenir de leurs auteurs l'autorisation de les reproduire, conformément à la *Loi sur le droit d'auteur.*

La présente permission ne porte pas atteinte aux privilèges, pouvoirs, immunités et droits de la Chambre et de ses comités. Il est entendu que cette permission ne touche pas l'interdiction de contester ou de mettre en cause les délibérations de la Chambre devant les tribunaux ou autrement. La Chambre conserve le droit et le privilège de déclarer l'utilisateur coupable d'outrage au Parlement lorsque la reproduction ou l'utilisation n'est pas conforme à la présente permission.

Aussi disponible sur le site Web de la Chambre des communes à l'adresse suivante : http://www.noscommunes.ca