## Presentation to Special Committee on Electoral Reform, House of Commons, Canada Dublin, 26 July 2016

Professor Michael Gallagher Professor Michael Marsh Department of Political Science Trinity College Dublin, Ireland mgllgher@tcd.ie, mmarsh@tcd.ie

# **Electoral systems**

#### PR or not PR?

Positives of PR:

- PR means much closer relationship between seats and votes
- Parliamentary groups of main parties have MPs from right across the country
- Fewer votes wasted, more people represented by MP of the party they voted for
- Possibly MPs more socio-demographically representative of the population as a whole

Negatives:

- Ridings would need to be much larger than at present, both in population and area
- Single-party governments unlikely to come about, government formation a more difficult and complicated process

# PR or not PR?

- Less clear that a change of electoral system can be expected to affect deeper aspects of politics
- 'Greater civility and collaboration in politics, enhance social cohesion' – unrealistic to expect any electoral system change to affect this
- Generally, unwise to attribute too much power to electoral system when we try to explain a country's political life

## Proportional representation electoral systems

Many different versions

- PR: the principle of proportional representation, not a method
- Lots of different ways of implementing the principle, which differ on factors such as the amount of choice given to voters over which individual candidate they want to be represented by
- PR almost universal in Europe, but hard to find two countries that have exactly the same system

### Proportional representation electoral systems

- Electoral system designers have a lot of choice
- How proportional do you want your system: highly proportional at any cost, or willing to trade off some proportionality against other merits?
- Should voters be able to choose among candidates of their favoured party?
- Is territorial connection between MPs and constituents important?

## Proportional representation electoral systems

- Most common type in Europe is open-list PR:
- parties present lists of candidates in multimember constituencies
- voters choose a party and can choose a particular candidate within that party
- –seats are awarded to parties in proportion to votes
- within parties, seats go to those candidates
  who received most preference votes from
  voters
- —thus, there is intra-party electoral competition; which evidence suggests does not make parties internally incohesive despite some expectations that it will have this effect

# **PR–STV** in Ireland

Ireland employs one specific method of PR, proportional representation by the single transferable vote (PR–STV)

PR-STV aims to:

achieve proportionality;

give maximum choice to voters;

avoid wasted votes;

retain territorial connection

between voters and TDs.

## **PR-STV for the voter**

### Simply rank 1, 2, 3 etc in order of preference, without being constrained by party lines



# **Counting the votes**

Detailed blow-by-blow explanation would make it sound more complicated than it is Principle is clear:

- if a popular candidate has more votes than they need to secure election, their 'surplus' votes are passed on to other candidates in line with the second preferences marked;
- if a candidate has so few votes that they have no chance of election, their votes are passed on to other candidates in line with the second preferences marked

counting continues until all seats are filled.

Most counts completed within 24 hours, but counting process can take several days to be completed

# Political impact of PR-STV in Ireland

#### **1** Accuracy of representation

average proportionality by standards of European PR systems, much more proportional than non-PR systems such as Canada or UK

#### 2 Government stability

29 elections 1922–2016 inclusive, so no record of instability

though current government has smallest ever parliamentary strength (58 seats out of 158), took over 2 months to be formed, and has uncertain lifespan

# Political impact of PR-STV in Ireland

#### **3 Participation by electorate**

voters have high involvement in choosing MPs to represent them, can choose on basis of any criterion voter wants

turnout not high: 65% in 2016 (though turnout levels are influenced by many factors)

# 4 Cohesion of parties, especially parliamentary parties

solidarity of parliamentary blocs is very high, very rare for an MP to defy the party whip

# Political impact of PR-STV in Ireland

#### **5 Links with constituents**

these are very strong in Ireland

- critics in the commentariat say too strong and PR-STV is to blame for this
- academics more likely to say the causes go well beyond PR-STV and that strong constituency links are not a bad thing anyway
- main point is that PR-STV gives every MP a strong incentive to respond to voters' wishes, and in Ireland voters expect MPs to be active on behalf of constituency and constituents

# **PR-STV in Canada**

338 MPs elected from around 70–90 multiseat ridings, each returning 3–7 MPs For example:

- Newfoundland and Labrador, currently 7 single-seat ridings, becomes one 7-seat riding or one 3-seat and one 4-seat riding
- PEI, currently 4 single-seat ridings, becomes one 4-seat riding
- New Brunswick, currently 10 single-seat ridings, could become two 5-seat ridings
- Or 'special treatment' could mean that Labrador, Northwest Territories, Nunavut, Yukon remain as single-seat ridings

Drawing of riding boundaries becomes much less contentious because fewer boundaries to be drawn

### Thank you

### Merci beaucoup

# **Presenters' biogs**

Professor Michael Gallagher is Head of the Department of Political Science at Trinity College Dublin and co-editor of *The Politics of Electoral Systems* (Oxford University Press, 2008)

Professor Michael Marsh is Professor Emeritus at Trinity College Dublin, principal investigator of recent Irish National Election Studies, and the leading authority on voting behaviour in Ireland